# RISK PREMIUM INVEST

Daily analysis of the US Treasuries Market

28 September 2022

	Fed Funds	3-month (Tbills)	1-year	2-year	5-year	10-year
Rates	3,08	3,4	3,99	4,07	3,92	3,72
Daily changes (bp)	0	5	-17	-23	-29	-25

Source: Federal Reserve, H15.

Highlights:

- *After the Bank of England said that it would buy as many long-dated government bonds as needed to stabilize financial markets, rates on government bonds fell sharply in all major markets*

- *Both Fed funds rates expectations and risk premia on US Treasuries declined. Yet, in this type of chaotic market, breaking down the relative contribution of these two key factors is probably less reliable than usual.*

PART I : Changes in expected Fed Funds.

PART II : Risk premia contributions.

s

PART III : Methodological annex.

The Fed Funds rates expected by investors are estimated by our proprietary model using both various surveys (the monthly "Consensus Economics" survey and the quarterly "Survey of Professional Forecasters") and the rich information contained in the yield curve (see the methodological annex). The estimates are changed when more recent surveys are available (on September 16, the September "Consensus Forecast" was introduced).

After the Bank of England said that it would buy as many long-dated government bonds as needed to stabilize financial markets (around 12 am Central European Time), rates on government bonds fell sharply in all major markets. Even rates on low maturity Treasuries (one-year and two-year) declined significantly and that seems to show that expectations for future Fed funds rates at this kind of horizon were significantly revised downwards (as short maturities bonds are more sensitive to monetary policy expectations than to changes in risk premia). This is the conclusion of our model, and maybe the "financial fragility" pointed by the BOE convinced investors that the Fed had less room to raise sharply US rates in the future that they (and the Fed) thought. Yet, we should recognize that in this sort of dislocated market with a lot of technical trading (activation of stop-losses orders and so on…) the signals sent by how the yield curve moves is probably less reliable than usual.

	Current	Expected in one year	Expected in three years	Expected equilibrium
Fed Funds	3,08	4,00	3,32	2,22
Daily changes (bp)	0	-20	-23	-7

Fed Funds Rate (Current and expected in the future)

Investors still expect an impressive tightening of monetary policy in 2022-2023 (Fed Funds rate at 4.00% in one year and 3.32% in three years). But they believe that the equilibrium long term rate (or "neutral" rate) will be rather low (2.22%). Their confidence is probably based on two key assumptions. On the one hand, the Fed will succeed in its fight against inflation and bring back price increases in the long term around 2% per annum. On the other hand, for structural reasons, the equilibrium "risk-free" real rate is considered rather low. This optimistic view about the real "risk-free" rate may be challenged in the future given the lack of control over fiscal policies in many parts of the world.

Expected Fed Funds rates at various horizons

Main market-moving news: 28 September 2022

US Macroeconomics

Others

The Bank of England said that it would buy as many long-dated government bonds as needed to stabilize financial markets.

For US Treasuries, as for all financial assets, there are two key different types of risk premia:

- The short-term **tactical risk premia**: How much excess returns investors require to hold various risky assets at their tactical horizon (which depends on investors, but is often around 3 months)? The tactical positions taken by investors relative to their benchmarks ("neutral", "short', "long") depend on these tactical risk premia.

- The **"buy-and-hold" or "embedded" risk premia**. How much excess return **long-term investors** expect if they hold risky assets over an extended horizon? In the case of US Treasuries, the buy-and-hold risk premia are the differences between the zero-coupon rates of various maturities and the (annualized) expected return on a fund invested in Fed Funds over the same period.

We estimate both types of risk premia (see the methodological annex) but we discuss here only the buy-and-hold risk premia.

The exceptional intervention of the Bank of England pushed lower the risk premia on government bonds all over the world. As said before, in this kind of chaotic market, it is difficult to separate what is coming from changes in monetary policy expectations and what is explained by changes in risk premia. Thus, the estimation of risk premia is probably less reliable than usual and markets may need a few days to stabilize and price bonds of various maturities consistently.

Contributions of expected Fed Funds and risk premia to changes in rates

	2-year	**5-year**	**10-year**
Buy-and-hold risk premia	0,11	0,44	0,77
Daily changes (bp)	-4	-8	-10

Buy-and-hold risk premia since the beginning of 2022

Buy-and-hold risk premia (5-year and 10-year bonds)

With a long-term perspective, it appears that the buy-and-hold risk premia on long-term Treasuries are still quite high (see the right-hand side graph). Since the beginning of Fed's Quantitative Easing in 2010, there has been only two episodes where the buy-and-hold risk premia on 10-year US Treasuries have been at equivalent high levels: the 2013 "taper tantrum" and the 2021 "reflation trade" episodes where investors introduced large short positions in Treasuries. Both time, these relatively high short positions and positive risk premia proved unsustainable and risk premia came back later on negative territory.

Looking forward, changing buy-and-hold risk premia should continue to introduce a lot of volatility in the US Treasuries markets. On the one hand, there is still an excess demand for long-term Treasuries and, we believe, a tendency for risk premia to go back on negative territory as soon as inflationary risks recede. On the other hand, the market will have in the future to absorb a larger supply with the Fed starting to cut its holding of bonds ("Quantitative Tightening"). This may push many investors to introduce again large short positions in the belief that long-term rates are now on a structural upward trend.

There is an abundant academic literature trying to extract from the yield curve the monetary policy path expected by investors and the risk premia embedded in the observed US Treasuries rates.

One of the best-known statistical models is the model developed by the Federal Reserve Bank of New-York. Their estimates are published daily on the NY Fed website (see www.newyorkfed.org/research/data_indicators/term-premia-tabs#/overview). Strangely enough, these estimates don't seem to be used by many markets practitioners when they discuss the shape of the yield curve and how it can be explained by short-rates expectations and risk premia. One of the reasons is that the results of the model are often quite unrealistic. To illustrate that observation, we can compare the average short rates expected by investors over the next 10 years according to this model with what professional forecasters expect (answers, once a year in February, to the well-regarded survey managed by the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. See www.philadelphiafed.org/surveys-and-data/real-time-data-research/survey-of-professional-forecasters).

Expected average short rates over the coming 10 years

SPF Survey — NY Fed model — Risk Premium Invest

There are many reasons why the average investor's view priced into the market may differ somewhat from the answer given by professional forecasters, but the difference is often much too large to be realistic.

The truth is that the estimates published on the NY Fed website are rather imprecise. There is indeed a large academic literature stressing that the yield curve alone does not contain enough information to extract the investors' underlying views and that the results of surveys should be incorporated in the extraction process (see Kim, Don H., and Athanasios Orphanides, 2012, Term structure estimation with survey data on interest rate forecasts, Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis 47).

Our model belongs to this class of models that combine information coming from well-regarded surveys with the observed yield curve. But its key originality is elsewhere. Our model does not extract only the buy-and-hold risk premia, but it also extracts the important short-term tactical risk premia required by investors on bonds of various maturities. These tactical risk premia are very important to understand the shape of the yield curve (see the references at the end of this page). One very important result of our work is that these tactical risk premia have been on average negative since the end 90s (the following graph represents the annualized excess return expected by investors on 10-year Treasuries at the 3-month horizon).

Tactical risk premia on 10-year US Treasuries

That means that a long time before the Fed introduced QE there was already an insufficient supply of risk-free Treasuries: tactical positions are on average structurally short in this key market. To keep it simple, this rich information about tactical risk premia is not discussed in this daily comment, but an excel file with the full information is available on our website (see the link on the homepage of www.riskpremium.com)

To know more about our modelling of the yield curve, and the key insights it provides on how markets price risks:
For a short presentation of the indicators we publish and how they can be used to understand the US yield curve, see https://riskpremium.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/RiskPremia-UST-guide-en.pdf
For a non-academic description of our modelling, see https://riskpremium.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/USTreasuries-Model-Guide.pdf